

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,619	06/29/2001	Amy R. Griffin	M4065.0467/P467	4918
24998	7590 06/30/2003			
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER	
	L STREET NW HINGTON, DC : 20037-1526		FOX, CHARLES A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/893,619	GRIFFIN, AMY R.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles A. Fox	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated and abandonent which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leading ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the co	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. X For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-13,17-28,31-35 & 39-46</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		_				
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		la lilis				
	SUPER	EILEEN D. LILLIS IVISORY PATENT EXAMINER HNOLOGY CENTER 3800				
. Patent and Trademark Office						

X!

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regards to claim 1 the cited prior art of Beach (US 2,931,519) does discloses all the limitations set forth in claim 1.